



08/492,943
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/492,943	06/21/95	NAUGLE	R SC08445SP1

B3M1/0804
VINCENT B INGRASSIA
MOTOROLA INC
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
P O BOX 10219
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85271-0219

EXAMINER	
GECKIL, M	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2302	14

DATE MAILED: 08/04/97

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mehmet Geckil (3) _____
(2) Robert Atkins (4) _____

Date of Interview 7/29/97

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1-10

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,557,736

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called the attorney to cite above prior art. Examiner also pointed out certain defects in the affidavit, i.e. filing date and enabling to one skill on the art at or before the filing date and also requested better correlation to the specification. Applicant called back and faxed an amendment fixing problems in the affidavit and canceling claims 1-2 ~~in order to~~ which read above prior art thus placing claims 3-10 in condition of allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Mehmet Geckil